

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
<b>I. INTRODUCTION</b> . . . . .	<b>1</b>
<b>A. Background</b> . . . . .	<b>1</b>
<b>B. Purpose</b> . . . . .	<b>3</b>
<b>II. SYNOPSIS OF NVSSP DMP</b> . . . . .	<b>4</b>
<b>A. Plan Formulation Guidelines</b> . . . . .	<b>4</b>
<b>1. Sacramento County Policies and Standards</b> . . . . .	<b>4</b>
<b>2. Sacramento County Drainage Master Plan Program</b> . . . . .	<b>4</b>
<b>3. NVSSP DMP</b> . . . . .	<b>5</b>
<b>III. HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC ANALYSES</b> . . . . .	<b>7</b>
<b>A. Hydrologic and Hydraulic Criteria</b> . . . . .	<b>7</b>
<b>B. Supporting Documentation</b> . . . . .	<b>7</b>
<b>C. SCDWR's Models for NVSSP Area</b> . . . . .	<b>8</b>
<b>1. Existing Conditions</b> . . . . .	<b>8</b>
<b>2. Ultimate Conditions</b> . . . . .	<b>8</b>
<b>3. Stand-Alone Conditions</b> . . . . .	<b>9</b>
<b>IV. APPROACH</b> . . . . .	<b>11</b>
<b>A. General</b> . . . . .	<b>11</b>
<b>B. Hydrology</b> . . . . .	<b>11</b>
<b>1. Worst-Case Scenario for Creeks</b> . . . . .	<b>12</b>
<b>2. Worst-Case Scenario for Basins</b> . . . . .	<b>13</b>
<b>C. Hydraulics</b> . . . . .	<b>14</b>
<b>1. Storm Drain Pipe System</b> . . . . .	<b>14</b>
<b>2. Detention Basins</b> . . . . .	<b>15</b>
<b>3. Channel Improvements</b> . . . . .	<b>16</b>
<b>D. Water Quality Treatment</b> . . . . .	<b>16</b>
<b>V. EXISTING CONDITIONS</b> . . . . .	<b>19</b>

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

	<u>PAGE</u>
<b>VI. STORM DRAIN PIPE SYSTEM ANALYSIS . . . . .</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>VII. DRAINAGE FACILITIES PHASING ANALYSIS . . . . .</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>A. Development Phasing . . . . .</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>B. Phase A . . . . .</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>C. Phase B . . . . .</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>D. Phase C . . . . .</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>E. Phase D . . . . .</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>F. Phase E . . . . .</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>VIII. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS . . . . .</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>A. Hydrologic Sensitivity Analysis . . . . .</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>B. “N” Value Sensitivity Analysis . . . . .</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>IX. OPINION OF PROBABLE COSTS . . . . .</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>X. FINDINGS . . . . .</b>	<b>40</b>

### LIST OF TABLES

<u>No.</u>	<u>Title</u>
<b>1</b>	<b>Phase A1 Conditions</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Phase A2 Conditions</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Phase B Conditions</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Phase C Conditions</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Phase D Conditions</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Phase E (Stand-Alone) Conditions</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Ultimate Conditions</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Summary of Probable Costs</b>

### LIST OF FIGURES

<u>No.</u>	<u>Title</u>
<b>1</b>	<b>Location Map</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Elder and Gerber Creeks – Subbasin Map – Existing Conditions</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Ultimate Land Use</b>

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

### LIST OF FIGURES

<u>No.</u>	<u>Title</u>
4	Preferred Drainage Plan
5	Basins E24A, G41, and G46 – Illustration of Runoff Routing Methodology
6	Typical Water Quality Swale/Channel Cross Section
7	Existing Conditions Floodplain (Oversized Print Available Upon Request)
8	Elder and Gerber Creeks – Subbasin Map – Developed Conditions
9	Storm Drainage Facilities Plan (Oversized)
10	Development Phasing
11	Typical Detention Basin/Channel Cross Section
12	Phase A1 Residual 100-Year Floodplain
13	Phase A2 Residual 100-Year Floodplain
14	Elder Creek Maximum Water Surface Profile – Phase A1
15	Gerber Creek Maximum Water Surface Profile - Phase A1
16	Elder Creek Maximum Water Surface Profile – Phase A2
17	Gerber Creek Maximum Water Surface Profile - Phase A2
18	Phase B – Residual 100-Year Floodplain
19	Elder Creek Maximum Water Surface Profile – Phase B
20	Gerber Creek Maximum Water Surface Profile - Phase B
21	Phase C – Residual 100-Year Floodplain
22	Elder Creek Maximum Water Surface Profile – Phase C
23	Gerber Creek Maximum Water Surface Profile - Phase C
24	Phase D – Residual 100-Year Floodplain
25	Elder Creek Maximum Water Surface Profile – Phase D
26	Gerber Creek Maximum Water Surface Profile - Phase D
27	Phase E - Residual 100-Year Floodplain
28	Elder Creek Maximum Water Surface Profile – Phase E
29	Gerber Creek Maximum Water Surface Profile - Phase E
30	Elder Creek Maximum Water Surface Profile – 100-Year/500-Year Comparison
31	Drainage Facilities – Project Numbers

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

---

---

**(Continued)**

### **APPENDICES**

#### **Title**

- A Hydrologic/Hydraulic Models Related to Drainage and Flood Control**
- B Borcalli & Associates, Inc., Memorandum to File, Evaluation of Basin E20  
December 15, 2001**
- C Storm Drainage Pipe Calculations**
- D HEC-1 and UNET Models Digital Files (Available to Sacramento County)**
- E Opinion of Probable Cost Tables**